O P E MA		215
none d	Application No.	Applicant(s)
OCT OF TOUS E	10/044,072	PETERSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Te Trial	Nghi V. Tran	2151
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are corrected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail De 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. With respect to claim 1, double quote appears to be un-necessary, unless it carries out an addition meaning. Please delete double quotes in lines 1 and 2 of the claim.
- 3. With respect to claims 11 and 12, the phrase, "mentioned above (10.)," appears to be incorrect. The Examiner suggests to replace as following: --A system of claim 10 that allows user to deliver ... on the localized specifications.--.
- 4. Abbreviations, symbols acronyms, functional designations, letter combinations code names, initializes, nicknames mnemonic devices, project names, alphabetical contractions and general slang must be positively defined and identified in the claim.
- 5. Taking claim 1 as an exemplary claim, the phrase, "software/hardware/computers/network system development" appears to be unclear because "/" means "or", "and" or both. For the purpose of examination, the Examiner reverse the right to interpret the "/" as "or".

Art Unit: 2151

The "/" limitation occurs many times in this application. For purpose of examination, the examiner only explains one specific claim interpretation as discussed above. All other "/" limitation will have the similar claim interpretations.

Page 3

6. Taking claim 1 as an exemplary claim, the phrase "... multiple platforms (interactive TV, Internet, wireless mobile, PDA, telematics, etc.) and devices based ..." (emphasis added) appears to be incorrect because "(interactive TV, Internet, wireless, mobile, PDA, telematics, etc.)" is not an abbreviation of "multiple platforms". Interactive TV, Internet, wireless, mobile, PDA, telematics, etc. are a list of a multiple platforms. Therefore, "interactive TV, Internet, wireless, mobile, PDA, telematics, etc." is an example of the "multiple platforms".

For purpose of examination, the examiner only explains one specific claim interpretation as discussed above. All other similar limitation will have the similar claim interpretations.

7. Taking claim 1 as an exemplary claim, a comma at the end of the claim appears to be incorrect. The Examiner suggests to replace "," with --.--.

The comma of the end of the claim occurs many times in this application. For purpose of examination, the examiner only explains one specific claim interpretation as discussed above. All other similar limitation will have the similar claim interpretations.

Art Unit: 2151

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 9. Claims 1-15 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
- 10. The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.
- 11. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. Taking claim 1 as an exemplary claim, the phrase "etc." renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The "etc." limitation occurs many times in this application. For purpose of examination, the examiner only explains one specific claim rejection as discussed above. All other "etc." limitation will have the similar claim rejections.

Art Unit: 2151

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 14. Claims 1-8, 10-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zigmond et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,785,902 (hereinafter Zigmond).
- 15. With respect to claim 1, Zigmond teaches a "System for Providing Localized Content Information Via Wireless Personal Communication Devices" [see abstract] comprising: A "technology infrastructure" software/hardware/computers/network system deployment that is comprised of one or more computers/servers (hardware), one or more operating systems (software), one or more applications/servers (software), and proprietary software components that allow for deployment of scalable localized and content targeted channels/portals/information systems to multiple platforms (interactive TV, Internet, wireless, mobile, PDA, telematics, etc.) and devices based on user request specifications [col.7, Ins.31-58 and fig.7].

Art Unit: 2151

- 16. With respect to claim 2, Zigmond teaches a system that aggregates the aggregators of content (heterogeneous databases) from innumerable sources [col.1, ln.14 col.2, ln.38].
- 17. With respect to claim 3, Zigmond teaches a system that stores and manages innumerable heterogeneous databases on network computer/s (servers) and makes them ready and available for data manipulation and enhancement [col.1, ln.14 col.2, ln.67].
- 18. With respect to claim 4, Zigmond teaches a system that universalizes data (makes it heterogeneous--uses universally recognized/accepted/adopted formats/standards, i.e. XML) making it readily available for integration with other data as well as delivery to users in a universally defined and acceptable standard/format [col.1, ln.14 col.2, ln.67].
- 19. With respect to claim 5, Zigmond teaches a system that enhances and localizes data by method of appending geographic coding to each record thus allowing the geographic definition of data by nationally and internationally well established standards such as longitude/latitude, community, zip code, postal code, city, province, county, MSA--Metropolitan Statistical Area, state, region, nation, country, world, or etc. [col.7, Ins.31-58 and fig.7].

Art Unit: 2151

- 20. With respect to claim 6, a system that integrates and synthesizes data/media/information based on user specifications/inquiry/request/search thus creating content that is enhanced in breadth and depth (creating super content by combining complimentary content) [col.4, ln.10 col.7, ln.58].
- 21. With respect to claim 7, Zigmond teaches a system that exposes the data via web services and independent applications to multiple platforms (interactive TV, Internet, wireless, mobile, PDA, telematics, etc.) and devices based on user specifications, instructions and design [col.7, lns.31-58 and fig.7 and figs.2-3 and 7].
- 22. With respect to claim 8, Zigmond teaches a system that generates style sheets [i.e. HTML or XML] to user device based on user instructions [col.1, In.14 col.2, In.67].
- 23. With respect to claim 10, Zigmond teaches a system that allows users to deploy innumerable local channels/portals/information systems based on user's geographic, content, platform, device, stylesheet, and design specifications [fig.7 and col.7, lns.31-58].
- 24. With respect to claim 11, Zigmond teaches a system that allows users to deliver targeted advertising based on the localized specifications mentioned above (10.) [col.7, lns.31-58].

Art Unit: 2151

- 25. With respect to claim 12, Zigmond teaches a system that allows users to deliver transactional services based on the localized specifications mentioned above (10.) [col.7, lns.31-58].
- 26. With respect to claim 13, Zigmond teaches a system that allows for personalization of browsers and customization of interface/device [figs.2-4].
- 27. With respect to claim 15, Zigmond teaches a system that allows for cross platform (interactive TV, wireless, mobile, PDA, Internet, telematics, or etc.) communication [col.1, ln.14 col.2, ln.67].
- 28. Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shoff et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,240,555 (hereinafter Shoff).
- 29. With respect to claim 9, Shoff teaches a system that adds transactional components and applications, and agents for online commerce [fig.8].
- 30. With respect to claim 14, Zigmond teaches a system that combines and integrates with other utilities and applications (chat, email, productivity tools, personal utility applications, etc.) to deliver a comprehensive localized information systems solution [fig.8].

æ.

Art Unit: 2151

Conclusion

- 31. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. "System and method for measuring wireless device and network usage and performance metrics," by Hendrickson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,745,011.
- b. "Optimizing bandwidth consumption for document distribution over a multicast enabled wide area network," by MARKS et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0004808.
- c. "Receiving an information resource from the Internet if it is not received from a broadcast channel," by Zigmond et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,571,392.
- 32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi V Tran Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

NT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination PETERSON ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,240,555	05-2001	Shoff et al.	725/110
	В	US-6,785,902	08-2004	Zigmond et al.	725/38
	С	US-6,745,011	06-2004	Hendrickson et al.	455/67.11
	D	US-2002/0004808	01-2002	MARKS et al.	707/530
	E	US-6,571,392	05-2003	Zigmond et al.	725/110
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	· Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005.

After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.